



## DYNAMICS OF CHLOROPHYCEAE IN PHYTOPLANKTON OF ASHTI LAKE, DISTRICT WARDHA (MAHARASHTRA)

**U.W.Fule**

Hutatama Rashtriya Arts and Science College Ashti, District Wardha  
Corresponding Email: [ujwalafule@gmail.com](mailto:ujwalafule@gmail.com)

Communicated : 16.07.2023

Revision : 27.07.2023 & 29.07.2023  
Accepted : 16.08.2023

Published : 30.09.2023

### ABSTRACT:

The status of the aquatic ecosystem is dependent on the abiotic properties of water and biological diversity of the ecosystem. Phytoplankton, the floating inconspicuous plant plays a major role in the food chain of aquatic ecosystem by biosynthesis of organic matter and thus act as the primary producer of food on which other life forms depend. This paper deals with Dynamics of Chlorophyceae in phytoplankton of Ashti lake, District Wardha. The samples were collected during the month December 2020 to November 2021. Chlorophyceae was the most dominant class of phytoplankton of Ashti lake, District Wardha. The class was represented by 18 genera. Maximum of Chlorophyceae population was observed during winter season 284.7 + 49.28 ind/lit, moderate in summer season 268.5 + 22.69 ind/lit. *Microspora* spp. And *Spirogyra* spp. Were other dominating genera, maximum population was observed during summer season.

**Keywords:** - Ashti lake, Phytoplankton, Chlorophyceae, *Microspora*.

### INTRODUCTION :

Phytoplankton, the floating inconspicuous plant plays a major role in the food chain of aquatic ecosystem by biosynthesis of organic matter and thus act as the primary producer of food on which other life forms depend. Phytoplankton is the base of most of the lake food webs and fish production is linked to phytoplankton production (Ryder et.al. 1974). Moreover, number and species of phytoplankton serves to determine the quality of a water body. Evaluation of the potentiality of an aquatic ecosystem is nothing but the estimation of the rate of its primary production, where it involves the primary fixation and its subsequent transfer of higher trophic levels. It is well established fact that the pollution aquatic habitats is assessed by using algae as indicators (Patrick, 1973). Phytoplankton of fresh water bodies have been studied extensively in India ( Bilgrami, 1989, Nandan and Patel, 1992, Trivedi and Khatavkar, 1996, Kumar, 1998, Khanna and Yadav, 2009).

For fulfilling the water requirements of Ashti lake has the greater importance. So present study was carried out which comprises the data on phytoplankton variation of Chlorophyceae in Ashti lake.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS :

The sampling were collecting once in month for a period of 1 year from December 2020 to November 2021 from the Ashti lake. The plankton net of mesh size 56.00 um. made up of bolting silk cloth swept through subsurface and samples were collected during 8.30am to 9.30am. 500ml, water sample was collected in a separate container and for immediate fixation, Lugols iodine solution was used in the field and later 4% formaldehyde was used for long term preservation. The Phytoplankton were concentrated and identified upto genera level using standard keys (Bellinger, 1992; Dhanpati, 2000; Kodarkar,1992;) For quantitative estimation, the counting was done by Haemocytometer method (Trivedi and Goel

1984). Simple correlation was carried out for water parameters and phytoplankton diversity.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION :

In the present investigation phytoplankton comprised of Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Bacillariophyceae and Euglenophyceae. Among the four groups, maximum number of species (18 species) belong to Chlorophyceae (Table-1& 2). It was dominant in winter followed by summer and least appearance in monsoon during the year 2020-2021.

During the year 2020-2021, Chlorophyceae was maximum during winter season 284.7 +49.28 ind/lit, moderate in summer season 268.5 + 22.69 ind/lit and least appearance during monsoon season 139.25 + 44.42 ind/lit.

Microspora, Chlorella and Spirogyra was observed to be the consistent and dominant genera in chlorophyceae. It was also the phytoplankton with highest average density contributing 97.50 + 29.0, 92.25 + 34.2 and 91.25 + 25.5 of total phytoplankton.

In the present investigation, Chlorophyceae appeared as dominant group and represented by 18 species among which Microspora spp., Spirogyra spp., Micrasterias spp., Oedogonium spp., Pediastrum spp., Ulothrix spp., Vaucheria spp., Volvax spp., and Chlorella spp., were dominant. Maximum density of Chlorophyceae was observed during winter season and minimum during monsoon season. Jawale and Patil (2009) observed that member of Chlorophyceae were highest in November and lowest in May month at Manglur Dam. Venkateshwarlu (1969) reported the dominance of the Chlorophyceae group during winter (Nov-Dec) coincides with low temp and high dissolved oxygen content. Panda et al., (2001) have recorded minimum density of Chlorophyceae in March and maximum in may with low density in July, September and October and a very high density of Chlorophyceae in November,

December and February. The results of present investigation are also in similar lines.

### CORRELATION WITH WATER PARAMETERS :

Members of chlorophyceae were present in maximum number especially during summer months, when light and temperature conditions. Chlorophyceae showed positive correlation with temperature. Lund (1965) observed that chlorophyceae can grow in wide range of temperature i.e. 19 to 37oc (degree centigrade). In the present study the abundance of chlorophyceae was observed between the temperature range of 22 to 28oc (degree centigrade). While the density was comparatively lower at 30oc (degree centigrade) and above. Positive correlation was observed between chlorophyceae population and light penetration in Ashti lake with highest correlation value in case of Microspora spp. Venkateshwaralu et. al.(1990) reported high DO content as one of the reasons for dominance of chlorophyceae.

### CONCLUSION:

Chlorophyceae were dominant among phytoplankton of Ashti lake, with Microspora showing high average density. The high abundance appeared to support the aquaculture observed in this lake. Microspora, chlorella, Spirogyra spp. were observed to be the consistent and dominant genera in chlorophyceae. Chlorophyceae showed positive correlation with temperature.

### REFERENCES:

- APHA, AWWA, WPCF, (1981): International Standard Methods for the examination for the examination of water and waste water 15th edition. Washington D.C. pp 115-119.
- Bellinger, P. E. (1992): A key to common algae: Freshwater, Estuarine and some coastal species. The Institute of water and environment management, London. Pp:138.

- Dhanapathi, M.V.S.S.S. (2000): Taxonomic notes on the Rotifers from India (from 1889- 2000). Indian Association of Aquatic Biologists (IAAB). Hyderabad. Publ. 10,pp 178.
- Jawale, A.K. and Patil S.A.(2009): Physico-chemical characteristics and phytoplankton abundance of Mangrul dam, Jalgaon M.S. J. Aqua. Biol. 24(1):Pp. 7-12.
- Khanna, V. and Yadav, I. (2009):Planktonic Diversity in the Holy lake Pushkar Ajmer (Rajsthan), Nature Environment and pollution Technology. Vol8(2) Pp 339-342.
- Kumar, A. and Singh, A.K.(1994):Studies on composition and seasonal population density of plankton in tropical shallow pond at Dhumka, J. Mendel Pp.153-154.
- Nandan,S.N. and Jain, D.S. (2005): Study of algal communities of Sanved Dam of Dhule as a indicator of organic water pollution. Ecology of plankton, Daya Publishing house, Pp. 135- 142.
- Panda, S.K. Dash, M.C. (2001): Biotic community structure and water quality in tropical fresh water ponds : A Case study of three pond's Bargarh town, Orissa. In Ecology and conservation of lakes, reservoirs and rivers.Pp. 44-67.
- Ryder, R. A. Ken, S.R. (1974): The morpho edaphic index , a fish yields estimator review and evaluation Journal of Fisheries Research board of Canada 31 Pp. 663-668.
- Trivedi, R.K.and Goel, P.K. (1984): Chemical Biological methods for water pollution Studies. Environmental publications, Karad, India. Pp.12.
- Venkateswarlu,V.(1969): Ecology of algae in the river Moosi, Hyderabad with special reference to water pollution I. Physico-chemical complexes. Hydrobiologia 33: Pp. 117-143.
- Vyas, I. N. Kumar, H.D. (1968): Studies on the phytoplankton and other algae of Indrasagar lake, Udaipur, India. Hydrobiologia 31: Pp.421-431.

**Table-1 Monthly Phytoplankton variation of Chlorophyceae during year Dec-2020 – Nov2021**

Sr. No.	Name of Species	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Total	Ave
1	<i>Chara</i> spp.	23	20	18	17	13	21	14	4	1	2	14	21	168	1
2	<i>Chlorella</i> spp.	48	19	24	38	32	20	20	9	45	49	35	30	369	30
3	<i>Chlorocloster</i> spp.	25	30	30	28	14	9	10	13	36	40	19	17	271	22
4	<i>Cladophora</i> spp.	11	17	17	21	23	12	1	2	4	7	0	0	115	9.
5	<i>Closterium</i> spp.	3	0	7	16	12	0	2	9	15	20	7	0	91	7.
6	<i>Cosmarium</i> spp.	12	3	3	0	0	0	2	3	6	8	14	10	61	5.
7	<i>Micrasterias</i> spp.	4	12	4	7	0	0	1	1	0	9	7	0	45	3.
8	<i>Microspora</i> spp.	41	39	32	51	57	65	19	0	6	21	21	38	390	3
9	<i>Nitella</i> spp.	16	14	9	4	8	13	2	0	0	6	7	10	89	7.
10	<i>Oedogonium</i> spp.	7	4	10	12	9	15	0	2	3	0	2	8	72	
11	<i>Pandorina</i> spp.	2	3	2	2	0	5	3	5	2	5	1	1	31	2.
12	<i>Pediastrum</i> spp.	8	8	3	2	1	2	1	0	0	3	4	3	35	2.
13	<i>Scenedesmus</i> spp.	13	12	0	0	14	12	12	15	3	3	11	11	106	8.
14	<i>Spirogyra</i> spp.	51	61	29	37	42	35	25	4	5	7	28	41	365	30
15	<i>Ulothrix</i> spp.	9	2	1	3	0	0	3	3	1	3	8	4	37	3.
16	<i>Vaucheria</i> spp.	22	29	13	17	21	26	0	0	3	7	17	17	172	14
17	<i>Volvox</i> spp.	3	3	4	4	0	4	2	6	5	7	2	2	42	3
18	<i>Zygnema</i> spp.	43	48	23	27	33	41	16	5	2	9	25	39	311	25

**Table:2 - Seasonal Variations in Chlorophyceae during year Dec.-2020-21**

Sr. No.	Name of Species	Monsoon Season	Winter Season	Summer Season	Total
1	<i>Chara</i> spp.	5.25 ± 5.17	19.50 ± 3.36	17.25 ± 2.86	42.00 ± 11.4
2	<i>Chlorella</i> spp.	30.75 ± 16.77	33.00 ± 10.42	28.50 ± 6.98	92.25 ± 34.2
3	<i>Chlorocloster</i> spp.	24.75 ± 13.37	22.75 ± 5.12	20.25 ± 8.95	67.75 ± 27.4
4	<i>Cladophora</i> spp.	3.50 ± 2.29	7.00 ± 7.31	18.25 ± 4.21	28.75 ± 13.8
5	<i>Closterium</i> spp.	11.50 ± 6.73	2.50 ± 2.87	8.75 ± 5.97	22.75 ± 15.6
6	<i>Cosmarium</i> spp.	4.75 ± 2.38	9.75 ± 4.15	0.75 ± 1.30	15.25 ± 7.8
7	<i>Micrasterias</i> spp.	2.75 ± 3.63	5.75 ± 4.38	2.75 ± 2.95	11.25 ± 11.0
8	<i>Microspora</i> spp.	11.50 ± 8.79	34.75 ± 8.01	51.25 ± 12.17	97.50 ± 29.0
9	<i>Nitella</i> spp.	2.00 ± 2.45	11.75 ± 3.49	8.50 ± 3.20	22.25 ± 9.1
10	<i>Oedogonium</i> spp.	1.25 ± 1.30	5.25 ± 2.38	11.50 ± 2.29	18.00 ± 6.0
11	<i>Pandorina</i> spp.	3.75 ± 1.30	1.75 ± 0.83	2.25 ± 1.79	7.75 ± 3.9
12	<i>Pediastrum</i> spp.	1.00 ± 1.22	5.75 ± 2.28	2.00 ± 0.71	8.75 ± 4.2
13	<i>Scenedesmus</i> spp.	8.25 ± 5.36	11.75 ± 0.83	6.50 ± 6.54	26.50 ± 12.7
14	<i>Spirogyra</i> spp.	10.25 ± 8.58	45.25 ± 12.21	35.75 ± 4.66	91.25 ± 25.5
15	<i>Ulothrix</i> spp.	2.50 ± 0.87	5.75 ± 2.86	1.00 ± 1.22	9.25 ± 5.0
16	<i>Vaucheria</i> spp.	2.50 ± 2.87	21.25 ± 4.95	19.25 ± 4.82	43.00 ± 12.6
17	<i>Volvox</i> spp.	5.00 ± 1.87	2.50 ± 0.50	3.00 ± 1.73	10.50 ± 4.1
18	<i>Zygnema</i> spp.	8.00 ± 5.24	38.75 ± 8.55	31.00 ± 6.78	77.75 ± 20.6